



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/022,664

12/17/2001

Philip M. Ginsberg

CF-29

1778

64558

7590

04/29/2008

ROPES & GRAY LLP

PATENT DOCKETING 39/361

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS

NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704

EXAMINER

AKINTOLA, OLABODE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3691

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/29/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/022,664	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GINSBERG, PHILIP M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> OLABODE AKINTOLA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3691	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2,3,5-8,38,39,41-44,74,75,80,82-87,92 and 94-140 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2,3,5-8,38,39,41-44,74,75,80,82-87,92 and 94-140 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 5-8, 38-39, 41-44, 74-75, 80, 82-87, 92 and 94-140 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In particular, claims 2, 38, 116 and 133 recite “generating an agreement ...and at a *specified location*” “delivering the durable good, in accordance to the agreement, to the *specified location on the future date*”.

The limitations in these claims do not have support in the originally filed disclosure. Applicant is requested to cite relevant portion(s) of the original disclosure that teaches these limitations.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2, 3, 5-8, 38-39, 41-44, 74-75, 80, 82-87, 92 and 94-140 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Himmelstein (US 6993511) (“Himmelstein”) in view of Wang (US 6604107) (“Wang”) and further in view of Arduino (US 6885998) (“Arduino”).

Re claims 2, 38, 105, 106, 114, 115, 116, 131, 132, 133, 140: Himmelstein teaches a method comprising: receiving at least one first parameter associated with a transaction of a durable good at a future date (Figs. 9A and 9B; col. 24, lines 25-29); generating a contract based on the at least one first parameter (Fig. 8); storing the contract order in a database (Fig. 8, ele. 116); receiving a query comprising at least one second parameter (col. 10, lines 13-23); transmitting a plurality of contracts in response to the query, in which each transmitted contract order comprises at least one first parameter that matches at least one second parameter of the query (Fig. 6); receiving a request to select a contract from the plurality of transmitted contracts (Fig. 6); and generating an agreement to exchange ownership of the durable good associated with the selected contract at the future date (Fig. 6, ele. 633, 634; col. 16, lines 23-33).

Himmelstein does not explicitly teach durable good comprises a vehicle and the first parameter comprises at least one of: a year of the vehicle, a manufacturer of the vehicle and a

Art Unit: 3691

model of the vehicle; and delivering the durable good, in accordance to the agreement, to the specified location on the future date. However, Himmelstein teaches durable good/items including gold (Fig. 9B, col. 1, line 16 “items”). Wang teaches creating a data structure for automobiles having attributes including (1) make, (2) model, (3) year and (4) color (col. 1, lines 19-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Himmelstein’s durable good to include at least a descriptive parameter of a vehicle as taught by Wang for the obvious reason of categorizing the vehicles.

Arduino teaches delivering the durable good, in accordance to the agreement, to the specified location on the future date (col. 2, lines 60-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Himmelstein to include this feature for the obvious reason of ensuring that the good is delivered as to by the customer.

Re claims 3, 39, 136: Himmelstein teaches the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter comprise at least one of a category field, a durable good details field, a future contract period field, and a search query field (figs. 3, 5F, 6, 9A-9B).

Re claims 5, 41, 99, 108, 125: Himmelstein teaches the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter comprise at least one of: receiving a definition for the durable good, a price for the durable good, and specifications for the durable good (figs. 9A, 9B).

Art Unit: 3691

Re claims 6, 42: Himmelstein teaches the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter comprise at least one of: a transfer of ownership date; and receiving an expiration date (figs. 9A, 9B).

Re claims 7, 43: Himmelstein teaches the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter comprise: a date at which ownership of the durable good is available for transfer (figs. 6, 9A, 9B).

Re claims 8, 44, 100, 101, 109, 110, 126, 127: Himmelstein teaches the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter comprise: a date at which the selected contract expires (figs. 6, 9A, 9B, abstract).

Re claim 74, 86, 117: Himmelstein teaches receiving the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter through a trading interface, in which the trading interface comprises: at least one form element for a user to the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter; and at least one button selectable by the user in order to submit the at least one first parameter and the at least one second parameter (figs 5A-5F).

Re claims 75, 87, 118: Himmelstein teaches receiving an acceptance of the agreement (col. 1, lines 13-35)

Art Unit: 3691

Re claims 80, 92, 119, 134: Himmelstein teaches one of purchasing and selling the durable good at the future date (figs 9A, 9B).

Re claims 82, 94, 120: Himmelstein teaches searching the database for at least one contract, in which the at least one contract comprises at least one first parameter that matches the at least one second parameter of the query (figs. 1, 4D).

Re claims 83, 95, 121: Himmelstein teaches listing at least one contract order, in which the at least one contract comprises at least one first parameter that matches the at least one second parameter of the query (fig. 4D).

Re claims 84, 96, 122, 138: Himmelstein teaches receiving a request for information associated with at least one of the plurality of transmitted contracts; and transmitting the information (col. 17, lines 36-45)

Re claims 85, 97, 123, 139: Himmelstein does not explicitly teach receiving a request to compare at least two of the plurality of transmitted contracts; and comparing the at least two of the plurality of transmitted contracts. Official notice is hereby taken that the concept of comparing two items is old and well. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Himmelstein to include this feature. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow the parties review the contracts for quick decision.

Re claims 98, 107, 124, 135: Himmelstein does not explicitly teach the vehicle comprises one of: of an automobile, a watercraft and an aircraft. However, Himmelstein teaches durable goods including gold (Fig. 9B). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Himmelstein's durable good to include an automobile, a watercraft and an aircraft. One would have been motivated to do so in order to increase the trading options of the users, thereby increasing the flexibility of the process/system..

Re claims 102, 111, 128, 137: Himmelstein teaches receiving a plurality of terms associated with executing the agreement; transmitting an acceptance of the plurality of terms; and transmitting an acceptance of the agreement (col. 5, lines 36-59).

Re claims 103, 112, 129: Himmelstein teaches the plurality of terms comprises at least one of: a period of the agreement, a method of payment, a method of delivery, an amount of liquidity damage resulting from a breach of the agreement, and a fee associated with the amount of liquidity damage (col. 18, lines 41-48).

Re claims 104, 113, 130: Himmelstein teaches receiving an acceptance of the plurality of terms; and receiving an acceptance of the agreement (col. 1, lines 30-35).



***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLABODE AKINTOLA whose telephone number is (571)272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

Art Unit: 3691

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

/Hani M. Kazimi/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691